PATENT APPLICATION

IMPROVED METHODS OF GENE SILENCING USING INVERTED REPEAT SEQUENCES

Inventor(s):

Neal Gutterson, a citizen of the United States,
residing at 5169 Golden Gate Avenue
Oakland, CA 94618

Paul Oeller, a citizen of the United States,
residing at 1817 6th St. Apt. A
Berkeley, CA 94710

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 415-576-0200

IMPROVED METHODS OF GENE SILENCING USING INVERTED REPEAT SEQUENCES

CROSS-REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5   The present application claims the benefit of USSN 60/225,508, filed

August 15, 2000, herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

STATEMENT AS TO RIGHTS TO INVENTIONS MADE UNDER FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

10   Not applicable.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

          Suppression of the expression of particular genes is an important tool both

for research and for the development of genetically engineered organisms more fitted for

15   a particular purpose. Gene silencing can be accomplished by the introduction of a

transgene corresponding to the gene of interest in the antisense orientation relative to its

promoter (see, e.g., Sheehy *et al.*, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA* 85:8805-8808 (1988);

Smith *et al.*, *Nature* 334:724-726 (1988)), or in the sense orientation relative to its

promoter (Napoli *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 2:279-289 (1990); van der Krol *et al.*, *Plant Cell*

20   2:291-299 (1990); US Patent No. 5,034,323; US Patent No. 5,231,020; and US Patent No.

5,283,184), both of which lead to reduced expression of the transgene as well as the

endogenous gene.

          Posttranscriptional gene silencing has been reported to be accompanied by

the accumulation of small (20-25 nucleotide) fragments of antisense RNA, which are

25   reported to be synthesized from an RNA template and represent the specificity and

mobility determinants of the process (Hamilton & Baulcombe, *Science* 286:950-952

(1999)). It has become clear that in a range of organisms the introduction of dsRNA

(double-stranded RNA) is an important component leading to gene silencing (Fire *et al.*,

Nature 391:806-811 (1998); Timmons & Fire, *Nature* 395:854 (1998); WO99/32619;

30   Kennerdell & Carthew, *Cell* 95:1017-1026 (1998); Ngo *et al.*, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA*

95:14687-14692 (1998); Waterhouse *et al.*, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA* 95:13959-13964

(1998); WO99/53050; Cogoni & Macino, *Nature* 399:166-169 (1999); Lohmann *et al.*,

Dev. Biol. 214:211-214 (1999); Sanchez-Alvarado & Newmark, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci.*

USA 96:5049-5054 (1999)). In plants the suppressed gene does not need to be an

endogenous plant gene, since both reporter transgenes and virus genes are subject to posttranscriptional gene silencing by introduced transgenes (English *et al., Plant Cell* 8:179-188 (1996); Waterhouse *et al., supra*). However, in all of the above cases, some sequence similarity is required between the introduced transgene and the gene that is

5   suppressed.

In one example, introduction of a sense transgene consisting of the 5'-UTR ("untranslated region"), coding region and 3'-UTR of an ACC oxidase gene under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter resulted in reduced ACC oxidase enzyme activity in 15% of a population of tomato plants (Hamilton *et al., Plant J.* 15:737-746 (1998);

10   WO98/53083). However, if inverted and sense repeats of part of the 5'-UTR of this ACC oxidase were included in the construct, suppression was observed in 96% of the plants (Hamilton *et al., supra*). In addition, suppression of another ACC oxidase gene related in sequence to the coding region of the transgene but not to the 5'-UTR of the transgene was suppressed, showing that double-stranded RNA of any part of the transcript targets the

15   entire RNA transcript for degradation. In addition, high frequency and high level posttranscriptional gene silencing have been found by introduction either of constructs containing inverted repeats of the coding regions of virus or reporter genes, or by crossing together plants expressing the sense and antisense transcripts of the coding region of the target gene (Waterhouse *et al., Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA* 95:13959-13964 (1998)).

20   Similar results were obtained by expression of sense and antisense transgenes under the control of different promoters in the same plant (Chuang & Meyerowitz, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci USA* 97:4985-4990 (2000)).

As gene silencing is a powerful tool for regulation of gene expression, both of endogenous genes and of transgenes, improved methods of gene silencing are

25   desired.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides an improved method for gene silencing that is specific for a target gene but does not require antisense or inverted repeat DNA of this

30   gene of interest in the construct. The method employs an inverted repeat of an element of the transcript 5' or 3' to the gene of interest, wherein the element is not related by sequence to the gene of interest. The inverted repeat sequence can be any convenient heterologous sequence or subsequence thereof, e.g., a leader sequence, a coding region, a transcribed region, an untranslated region, a terminator, a polyadenylation sequence, a

2

non-transcribed sequence, e.g., a promoter, or a random sequence, e.g., a synthetic sequence. Preferably, the inverted repeat is not part of an intron sequence. An inverted sequence repeat of about 30 to more than about 1000 base pairs is incorporated into a sense construct either 5' or 3' to the targeting sequence that targets the endogenous gene.

Alternatively, the inverted sequence repeat is flanked by a 5' and a 3' targeting sequence. Once the posttranscriptional gene silencing mechanism is triggered, sequences in cis to the inverted repeat become targets of gene silencing. This method has the advantage of ease and rapidity in preparation of the constructs, since the inverted repeat can be made separately and used for many different transgenes, and is suitable for high-throughput studies. In addition, multiple transgenic constructs all containing the same repeat element can be silenced at the same time, since the initial silencing trigger mediated through the inverted repeat region will apply to all of the transcripts.

In one aspect, the present invention provides a method of reducing expression of a target gene in a cell, the method comprising the step of expressing in the cell an expression cassette comprising a promoter operably linked to a sense or antisense targeting sequence having substantial identity to at least a subsequence of the target gene, and an inverted repeat of a subsequence of an NOS gene, wherein the inverted repeat is heterologous to the targeting sequence, thereby reducing expression of the target gene.

In another aspect, the present invention provides an expression cassette comprising a promoter operably linked to a sense or antisense targeting sequence having substantial identity to at least a subsequence of the target gene, and an inverted repeat of a subsequence of an NOS gene, wherein the inverted repeat is heterologous to the targeting sequence.

In another aspect, the present invention provides a transgenic plant comprising an expression cassette comprising a promoter operably linked to a sense or antisense targeting sequence having substantial identity to at least a subsequence of the target gene, and an inverted repeat of a subsequence of an NOS gene, wherein the inverted repeat is heterologous to the targeting sequence.

In one embodiment, the inverted repeat is in a position 3' to the targeting sequence. In another embodiment, the inverted repeat is in a position 5' to the targeting sequence.

In one embodiment, the inverted repeat is from the 3' untranslated region of the NOS gene. In another embodiment, the inverted repeat is from the terminator region of the NOS gene. In another embodiment, the inverted repeat is from the 5'

3

untranslated region of the NOS gene. In another embodiment, the inverted repeat is from the coding region of the NOS gene. In another embodiment, the NOS gene is from an *Agrobacterium* sp.

In one embodiment, the inverted repeat comprises a sense region, a linker region, and an antisense region. In another embodiment, the inverted repeat is from about 30 to about 200 nucleotides in length.

In one embodiment, the targeting sequence is a sense or an antisense sequence. In another embodiment, the targeting sequence has substantial identity to a plant pathogen target gene, e.g., a viral sequence, a bacterial sequence, an insect sequence, a fungal sequence, or a nematode sequence. In another embodiment, the targeting sequence has substantial identity to a plant target gene. In another embodiment, the targeting sequence is from about 100 to about 1000 nucleotides in length. In another embodiment, the targeting sequence is from a coding region, a 5' untranslated region, or a 3' untranslated region of the target gene. In another embodiment, the targeting sequence comprises a premature stop codon that inhibits translation of the targeting sequence.

In one embodiment, the target gene is polygalacturonase.

In one embodiment, the promoter is a tissue specific promoter. In another embodiment, the promoter is a plant promoter, e.g., a cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter or a figwort mosaic virus 34S promoter.

In one embodiment, the cell is a plant cell.

In one embodiment, the plant is selected from the group consisting of wheat, corn, rice, sorghum, pepper, tomato, squash, banana, strawberry, carrot, bean, cabbage, beet, cotton, grape, pea, pineapple, potato, soybean, yam, and alfalfa.

In one embodiment, the expression cassette has a nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:1.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 provides a schematic representation of a construct containing an inverted repeat of the nopaline synthase (nos) 3' untranslated region. Arrows indicate the orientation of the DNA fragments used to assemble the construct.

Figure 2 shows PG mRNA abundance in red fruit and leaves of plants transformed with the FMV.PG.nosIR construct.

Figure 3 shows relative PG mRNA abundance in plants transformed with the FMV.PG.nosIR construct.

4

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Introduction

The present invention therefore provides improved methods of gene

5 silencing, by expressing in an organism a nucleic acid having an inverted repeat 5' or 3'
to a sense or antisense targeting sequence, wherein the sense or antisense targeting
sequence has substantial sequence identity to the target gene to be suppressed, but the
inverted repeat is not related by sequence to the target gene. In another embodiment, the
heterologous inverted repeat is flanked by a 5' and 3' targeting sequence.

10 The inverted repeat is chosen from any suitable sequence, and is typically
from about 30 to about 1000 base pairs in length, preferably 30 to about 600, or 30 to 200
base pairs in length. Each element of the inverted repeat is about 15 to about 500 base
pairs in length, preferably about 15 to about 100 base pairs in length. The inverted repeat
has the ability to form a double stranded RNA in the cell. Without being tied to theory,

15 the inverted repeat transcript may form a hairpin or a stem loop structure. The repeat may
also comprise a linker between the two elements of the inverted repeat, the linker
typically being from about 15 to about 200 base pairs in length. In a preferred
embodiment, the heterologous inverted repeat of the invention is from the NOS gene
(nopaline synthase gene) of soil bacteria, e.g., *Agrobacterium* species (*see, e.g.*, Figure 1).

20 In another preferred embodiment, the NOS gene is from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. In
another preferred embodiment, the heterologous inverted repeat of the invention is from
the 3' untranslated region of the NOS gene (e.g., complement of nucleotides 26573-
28167 of GenBank accession no. AJ237588).

The improved gene silencing construct is expressed in the organism of

25 choice, e.g., a bacterial cell, a fungal cell, a eukaryotic cell, e.g., a plant cell or a
mammalian cell. In one embodiment, the improved gene silencing construct is expressed
in a plant cell, where the transcript, or fragments thereof, is taken up by plant pathogens
such as fungi, bacteria, nematodes, e.g., cyst and root knot nematodes, and insects, e.g.,
sucking insects, leading to gene silencing in the pathogen. In another embodiment, the

30 improved gene silencing construct is expressed in a transgenic plant, and is used to
regulate expression of the transgene, e.g., in a hybrid plant vs. the parent plant, producing,
e.g., male sterility. In another embodiment, the improved gene silencing construct is used
in functional genomics to determine the effect of regulating gene expression of a selected
endogenous gene or transgene. In another embodiment, the gene silencing vector is used

5

to regulate expression of an endogenous plant gene, e.g., to regulate plant phenotypes such as disease resistance; modification of structural and storage polysaccharides; flavor; protein, nutritional characteristics; sugar, oil, and fatty acid composition; fruit ripening; fruit softening; acidity; yield; color/pigment; flowering; male sterility, etc. In another embodiment, the improved gene silencing construct is used to regulate multiple transgenes having the same inverted repeat element.

The target gene is any gene suitable for regulation in an organism. The gene may be an endogenous chromosomal or genomic gene, a transgene, either episomal or integrated, an episomal gene, a mitochondrial gene, a chloroplastic gene, a viral gene, either integrated or episomal, a bacterial gene, etc. For example, suitable targeting genes in plants include polygalacturonase, delta-12 desaturase, delta-9 desaturase, delta-15 desaturase, acetyl-CoA carboxylase, acyl-ACP-thioesterase, ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, starch synthase, cellulose synthase, sucrose synthase, senescence-associated genes, heavy metal chelators, fatty acid hydroperoxide lyase, EPSP synthase. For example, in targeting a plant pathogen, genes involved in development, reproduction, motility, nervous system, sex determination, normal metabolic function and homeostasis, and the like, are suitable for targeting.

The construct is expressed by expression vectors comprising promoters active in the cells of choice, e.g., optionally constitutive or tissue specific promoters. For example, constitutive plant promoters include the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter, the figwort mosaic virus (FMG) 34S promoter, and the 1'- or 2'- promoter derived from T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Examples of inducible plant promoters include promoters under developmental control that initiate transcription only in certain tissues, such as fruit, seeds, or flowers, or promoters that regulate transcription in response to environmental stimuli such as light or chemicals or pest infection, or promoters that are temporally regulated. For example, the use of a polygalacturonase promoter can direct expression in the fruit, a CHS-A (chalcone synthase A from petunia) promoter can direct expression in flower of a plant.

Other suitable promoters include, e.g., tapetal-specific promoters such as TA29 from tobacco (Mariani *et al.*, *Nature* 347:737-41 (1990)), 127a, 108, and 92b from tomato (Chen & Smith, *Plant Physiol.* 101:1413-19 (1993); Aguirre & Smith, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 23:477-87 (1993)), and A6 and A9 from *Brassica* (Wyatt *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 19:611-22 (1992)). Anther-specific promoters could also be used such as ones isolated by Twell *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 217:240-45 (1991) or Scott *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 17:195-

207 (1991). Seed coat specific promoters, such as the pT218 promoter (Fobert *et al., The Plant Journal* 6:567-77 (1994)) or the pWM403 promoter could also be used in the present invention. Tissue-specific promoters for a range of different tissues have been identified, including roots, sepals, petals, and vascular elements. In addition, promoters

5   induced upon pathogen infection have been identified, such as the prp-1 promoter (Strittmatter *et al., Bio/Technology* 13:1085-90 (1995)). Promoters induced in specialized nematode feeding structures have been identified (disclosed in patent applications WO 92/21757, WO 93/10251, WO 93/18170, WO 94/10320, WO 94/17194). Another useful promoter is the tet artificial promoter comprising at least one tet operators and a TATA-

10 box (Weinman *et al.*, 1994). This promoter is transcriptionally activated by an activator made by fusing the tet repressor, which recognizes the tet operator, to a eukaryotic activation domain.

Suitable expression vectors for use in the present invention include prokaryotic and eukaryotic vectors, include mammalian vectors and plant vectors. Plant

15 vectors can include DNA or RNA expression vectors. For example, plant RNA expression vectors include derivatives of plant RNA viruses in the Bromovirus, Furovirus, Hordeivirus, Potexvirus, Tobamovirus, Tobravirus, Tombusvirus, and Potyvirus groups, in particular tobacco mosaic virus, cucumber mosaic virus, tobacco etch virus, tobacco rattle virus, tomato bushy stunt virus, brome mosaic virus, potato

20 virus X, and potato virus Y. Suitable DNA expression vectors of the invention also include, e.g., viral-based vectors derived from plant DNA viruses, e.g., from Caulimovirus or Geminivirus, in particular, from cauliflower mosaic virus, African cassava mosaic virus, and tomato golden mosaic virus.

Suitable plants for use in the methods of the invention include a broad

25 range of plants, including, e.g., species from the genera *Allium, Anacardium, Arachis, Asparagus, Atropa, Avena, Brassica, Citrus, Citrullus, Capsicum, Carthamus, Cocos, Coffea, Cucumis, Cucurbita, Daucus, Elaeis, Fragaria, Glycine, Gossypium, Helianthus, Heterocallis, Hordeum, Hyoscyamus, Lactuca, Linum, Lolium, Lupinus, Lycopersicon, Malus, Manihot, Majorana, Medicago, Musa, Nicotiana, Olea, Oryza, Panieum,*

30 *Pannesetum, Persea, Phaseolus, Pistachia, Pisum, Pyrus, Prunus, Raphanus, Ricinus, Rosa, Secale, Senecio, Sinapis, Solanum, Sorghum, Theobromus, Trigonella, Triticum, Vicia, Vitis, Vigna,* and *Zea.*

7

Definitions

The phrase "inhibiting expression of a target gene" refers to the ability of a nucleic acid construct of the invention to initiate gene silencing of the target gene. To examine the extent of gene silencing, samples or assays of the organism of interest or

5   cells in culture expressing a particular construct are compared to control samples lacking expression of the construct. Control samples (lacking construct expression) are assigned a relative value of 100%. Inhibition of expression of a target gene is achieved when the test value relative to the control is about 90%, preferably 50%, more preferably 25-0%. Suitable assays include those described below in the Example section, e.g., examination

10   of protein or mRNA levels using techniques known to those of skill in the art such as dot blots, northern blots, in situ hybridization, ELISA, immunoprecipitation, enzyme function, as well as phenotypic assays known to those of skill in the art.

A "target gene" refers to any gene suitable for regulation of expression, including both endogenous chromosomal genes and transgenes, as well as episomal or

15   extrachromosomal genes, mitochondrial genes, chloroplastic genes, viral genes, bacterial genes, animal genes, plant genes, protozoal genes and fungal genes.

A "targeting sequence" refers to a nucleic acid that has substantial identity to the target gene and is part of the gene silencing vector. The targeting sequence can correspond to the full length target gene, or a subsequence thereof. Typically, the

20   targeting sequence is at least about 25-50 nucleotides in length.

The term "heterologous" when used with reference to portions of a nucleic acid indicates that the nucleic acid comprises two or more subsequences that are not found in the same relationship to each other in nature. For instance, the nucleic acid is typically recombinantly produced, having two or more sequences from unrelated genes

25   (i.e., genes that do not have substantial identity to one another) arranged to make a transcribed nucleic acid, e.g., a coding region from another source and an inverted repeat region from another source.

"Inverted repeat" refers to a nucleic acid sequence comprising a sense and an antisense element positioned so that they are able to form a double stranded RNA

30   when the repeat is transcribed. The inverted repeat may optionally include a linker sequence between the two elements of the repeat. The elements of the inverted repeat have a length sufficient to form a double stranded RNA. Typically, each element of the inverted repeat is about 15 to about 2000 base pairs in length.

8

The term "plant" includes whole plants, shoot vegetative organs/structures (e.g. leaves, stems and tubers), roots, flowers and floral organs/structures (e.g. bracts, sepals, petals, stamens, carpels, anthers and ovules), seed (including embryo, endosperm, and seed coat) and fruit (the mature ovary), plant tissue (e.g. vascular tissue, ground tissue, and the like) and cells (*e.g.* guard cells, egg cells, trichomes and the like), and progeny of same. The class of plants that can be used in the method of the invention is generally as broad as the class of higher and lower plants amenable to transformation techniques, including angiosperms (monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants), gymnosperms, ferns, and multicellular algae. It includes plants of a variety of ploidy levels, including aneuploid, polyploid, diploid, haploid and hemizygous.

"Nucleic acid" refers to deoxyribonucleotides or ribonucleotides and polymers thereof in either single- or double-stranded form. The term encompasses nucleic acids containing known nucleotide analogs or modified backbone residues or linkages, which are synthetic, naturally occurring, and non-naturally occurring, which have similar binding properties as the reference nucleic acid, and which are metabolized in a manner similar to the reference nucleotides. Examples of such analogs include, without limitation, phosphorothioates, phosphoramidates, methyl phosphonates, chiral-methyl phosphonates, 2-O-methyl ribonucleotides, peptide-nucleic acids (PNAs).

The term "recombinant" when used with reference, e.g., to a cell, or nucleic acid, protein, or vector, indicates that the cell, nucleic acid, protein or vector, has been modified by the introduction of a heterologous nucleic acid or protein or the alteration of a native nucleic acid or protein, or that the cell is derived from a cell so modified. Thus, for example, recombinant cells express genes that are not found within the native (non-recombinant) form of the cell or express native genes that are otherwise abnormally expressed, under expressed or not expressed at all.

A "promoter" is defined as an array of nucleic acid control sequences that direct transcription of a nucleic acid. As used herein, a promoter includes necessary nucleic acid sequences near the start site of transcription, such as, in the case of a polymerase II type promoter, a TATA element. A promoter also optionally includes distal enhancer or repressor elements, which can be located as much as several thousand base pairs from the start site of transcription. A "constitutive" promoter is a promoter that is active under most environmental and developmental conditions and in most plant tissues. An "inducible" promoter is a promoter that is active under environmental or developmental regulation. The term "operably linked" refers to a functional linkage

9

between a nucleic acid expression control sequence (such as a promoter, or array of transcription factor binding sites) and a second nucleic acid sequence, wherein the expression control sequence directs transcription of the nucleic acid corresponding to the second sequence.

5   A "plant promoter" is a promoter capable of initiating transcription in plant cells.

An "expression vector" is a nucleic acid construct, generated recombinantly or synthetically, with a series of specified nucleic acid elements that permit transcription of a particular nucleic acid in a host cell. The expression vector can

10   be part of a plasmid, virus, or nucleic acid fragment. The expression vector can be an RNA or a DNA vector. Typically, the expression vector includes a nucleic acid to be transcribed operably linked to a promoter (an expression cassette). An "expression cassette" refers to a subsequence of the expression vector.

The terms "substantially identical" or "substantial identity," in the context

15   of two or more nucleic acids or polypeptide sequences, refer to two or more sequences or subsequences that are the same or have a specified percentage of amino acid residues or nucleotides that are the same (i.e., at least about 60%, preferably 65%, 70%, 75%, preferably 80%, 85%, 90%, or 95% identity over a specified region), when compared and aligned for maximum correspondence over a comparison window, or designated region as

20   measured using one of the following sequence comparison algorithms or by manual alignment and visual inspection. This definition, when the context indicates, also refers analogously to the complement of a sequence. Preferably, the substantial identity exists over a region that is at least about 6-7 amino acids or 25 nucleotides in length, or more preferably over a region that is 50-100 amino acids or nucleotides in length.

25   For sequence comparison, typically one sequence acts as a reference sequence, to which test sequences are compared. When using a sequence comparison algorithm, test and reference sequences are entered into a computer, subsequence coordinates are designated, if necessary, and sequence algorithm program parameters are designated. Default program parameters can be used, or alternative parameters can be

30   designated. The sequence comparison algorithm then calculates the percent sequence identities for the test sequences relative to the reference sequence, based on the program parameters.

A "comparison window", as used herein, includes reference to a segment of any one of the number of contiguous positions selected from the group consisting of

10

from 20 to 600, usually about 50 to about 200, more usually about 100 to about 150 in which a sequence may be compared to a reference sequence of the same number of contiguous positions after the two sequences are optimally aligned. Methods of alignment of sequences for comparison are well-known in the art. Optimal alignment of

5   sequences for comparison can be conducted, e.g., by the local homology algorithm of Smith & Waterman, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 2:482 (1981), by the homology alignment algorithm of Needleman & Wunsch, *J. Mol. Biol.* 48:443 (1970), by the search for similarity method of Pearson & Lipman, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:2444 (1988), by computerized implementations of these algorithms (GAP, BESTFIT, FASTA, and

10   TFASTA in the Wisconsin Genetics Software Package, Genetics Computer Group, 575 Science Dr., Madison, WI), or by manual alignment and visual inspection (*see, e.g., Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* (Ausubel *et al.*, eds. 1995 supplement)).

A preferred example of algorithm that is suitable for determining percent sequence identity and sequence similarity are the BLAST and BLAST 2.0 algorithms,

15   which are described in Altschul *et al., Nuc. Acids Res.* 25:3389-3402 (1977) and Altschul *et al., J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403-410 (1990), respectively. BLAST and BLAST 2.0 are used, with the parameters described herein, to determine percent sequence identity for the nucleic acids and proteins of the invention. Software for performing BLAST analyses is publicly available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information

20   (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). This algorithm involves first identifying high scoring sequence pairs (HSPs) by identifying short words of length W in the query sequence, which either match or satisfy some positive-valued threshold score T when aligned with a word of the same length in a database sequence. T is referred to as the neighborhood word score threshold (Altschul *et al., supra*). These initial neighborhood word hits act as

25   seeds for initiating searches to find longer HSPs containing them. The word hits are extended in both directions along each sequence for as far as the cumulative alignment score can be increased. Cumulative scores are calculated using, for nucleotide sequences, the parameters M (reward score for a pair of matching residues; always > 0) and N (penalty score for mismatching residues; always < 0). For amino acid sequences, a

30   scoring matrix is used to calculate the cumulative score. Extension of the word hits in each direction are halted when: the cumulative alignment score falls off by the quantity X from its maximum achieved value; the cumulative score goes to zero or below, due to the accumulation of one or more negative-scoring residue alignments; or the end of either sequence is reached. The BLAST algorithm parameters W, T, and X determine the

11

sensitivity and speed of the alignment. The BLASTN program (for nucleotide sequences) uses as defaults a wordlength (W) of 11, an expectation (E) or 10, M=5, N=-4 and a comparison of both strands. For amino acid sequences, the BLASTP program uses as defaults a wordlength of 3, and expectation (E) of 10, and the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix

5 (*see* Henikoff & Henikoff, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:10915 (1989)) alignments (B) of 50, expectation (E) of 10, M=5, N=-4, and a comparison of both strands.

The BLAST algorithm also performs a statistical analysis of the similarity between two sequences (*see, e.g.*, Karlin & Altschul, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:5873-5787 (1993)). One measure of similarity provided by the BLAST algorithm is

10 the smallest sum probability (P(N)), which provides an indication of the probability by which a match between two nucleotide or amino acid sequences would occur by chance. For example, a nucleic acid is considered similar to a reference sequence if the smallest sum probability in a comparison of the test nucleic acid to the reference nucleic acid is less than about 0.2, more preferably less than about 0.01, and most preferably less than

15 about 0.001.

Improved gene silencing vectors

The improved gene silencing vectors disclosed herein can be used to inhibit target gene expression in an organism of choice, e.g., bacteria, a fungus, a plant, a

20 plant pathogen, e.g., an insect, a virus, or a nematode, a mammalian cell, or other eukaryotes. To accomplish this, a targeting nucleic acid sequence from the desired target gene is cloned and operably linked to a promoter or promoters such that either a sense and an antisense strand of RNA will be transcribed. A heterologous inverted repeat is typically positioned at either the 5' or 3' end of the targeting sequence. Alternatively, the

25 inverted sequence repeat is flanked by a 5' and a 3' targeting sequence. The construct is then transformed into the organism of choice, and RNA is produced. The targeting nucleic acid sequence to be introduced generally will be substantially identical (i.e., have at least about a minimum percent identity) to at least a portion of the target gene or genes to be inhibited. This minimal identity will typically be at least about 60%, but a higher

30 identity might exert a more effective repression of expression of the endogenous sequences. For high levels of suppression, substantially greater identity of more than about 80% is preferred, and about 95% to absolute identity may be most preferred. The sequence, however, need not be perfectly identical to inhibit expression. The vectors of

12

the present invention can be designed such that the inhibitory effect applies to other genes within a family of genes exhibiting identity or substantial identity to the target gene.

The introduced targeting sequence also need not be full length relative to either the primary transcription product or fully processed mRNA. Generally, higher identity can be used to compensate for the use of a shorter sequence. Furthermore, the introduced sequence need not have the same intron or exon pattern, and identity of non-coding segments may be equally effective. Normally, the targeting sequence has a length of at least about 25 nucleotides, optionally a sequence of about 25 to about 50 nucleotides, optionally a sequence of about 50 to about 100 nucleotides, optionally a sequence of about 150 to about 200 nucleotides, optionally a sequence of about 200 to about 500, and optionally a sequence of about 500 to about 1000 or more nucleotides, up to a molecule that corresponds in size to a full length target gene.

Cloning of target nucleic acids

Generally, the nomenclature and the laboratory procedures in recombinant DNA technology described below are those well known and commonly employed in the art. Standard techniques are used for cloning, DNA and RNA isolation, amplification and purification. Generally enzymatic reactions involving DNA ligase, DNA polymerase, restriction endonucleases and the like are performed according to the manufacturer's specifications. These techniques and various other techniques are generally performed according to Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning - A Laboratory Manual*, (1989) or *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* Volumes 1-3 (Ausubel, *et al.*, eds. 1994-1998).

The isolation of nucleic acids corresponding to target genes may be accomplished by a number of techniques. For instance, oligonucleotide probes based on known sequences can be used to identify the desired gene in a cDNA or genomic DNA library. To construct genomic libraries, large segments of genomic DNA are generated by random fragmentation, e.g. using restriction endonucleases, and are ligated with vector DNA to form concatamers that can be packaged into the appropriate vector. To prepare a cDNA library, mRNA is isolated from the desired organ, such as flowers, and a cDNA library which contains the target gene transcript is prepared from the mRNA. Alternatively, cDNA may be prepared from mRNA extracted from other tissues in which target genes or homologs are expressed.

13

The cDNA or genomic library can then be screened using a probe based upon the sequence of a cloned target gene . Probes may be used to hybridize with genomic DNA or cDNA sequences to isolate homologous genes in the same or different plant species. Alternatively, antibodies raised against an target polypeptide can be used

5 to screen an mRNA expression library.

Alternatively, the nucleic acids of interest can be amplified from nucleic acid samples using amplification techniques. For instance, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology can be used to amplify the sequences of the target genes directly from genomic DNA, from cDNA, from genomic libraries or cDNA libraries.

10 PCR and other *in vitro* amplification methods may also be useful, for example, to clone nucleic acid sequences that code for proteins to be expressed, to make nucleic acids to use as probes for detecting the presence of the desired mRNA in samples, for nucleic acid sequencing, or for other purposes. For a general overview of PCR see *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*. (Innis *et al.*, eds. 1990).

15 Polynucleotides may also be synthesized by well-known techniques as described in the technical literature (*see, e.g.*, Carruthers *et al., Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* 47:411-418 (1982), and Adams *et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 105:661 (1983). Double stranded DNA fragments may then be obtained either by synthesizing the complementary strand and annealing the strands together under appropriate conditions, or

20 by adding the complementary strand using DNA polymerase with an appropriate primer sequence.

Promoters and expression vectors

As described below, an improved gene silencing expression vector can be

25 introduced into a plant by any suitable method. For example, the construct can be introduced into a plant via stable transformation with *Agrobacterium*, particle bombardment, electroporation, or transduction with a viral particle. A suitable expression vector is therefore selected according to the desired method of plant transformation.

In one embodiment, the construct is expressed via a DNA expression

30 vector. Such expression vectors comprise DNA dependent RNA polymerase promoters that are active in plant cells, e.g., constitutive plant promoters such as those described herein and above (e.g., the nopaline synthase promoter, Sanders *et al., Nuc. Acids Res.* 15:1543-1558 (1987); or the CaMV 35S promoter, Urwin *et al., Mol. Plant Microbe*

14

Interact. 10:394-400 (1997)) or tissue specific plant promoters such as those described herein and above.

In another embodiment, the gene silencing construct is transcribed via an RNA expression vector. The RNA expression vector encodes an RNA dependent RNA polymerase active in plant cells, and the gene silencing construct is transcribed via an RNA dependent RNA polymerase promoter active in plant cells. Suitable RNA dependent RNA polymerases and their corresponding promoters and expression vectors are derived, e.g., from potato virus X (Chapman *et al., Plant J.* 2:549-557 (1992), tobacco mosaic virus (*see, e.g.,* Dawson *et al., Virology* 172:285-292 (1989)), tobacco etch virus (*see, e.g.,* Dolja *et al., Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA* 89:10208-10212 (1992)), tobacco rattle virus (*see, e.g.,* Ziegler-Graff *et al., Virology* 182:145-155 (1991)), tomato bushy stunt virus (*see, e.g.,* Scholthof *et al., Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 6:309-322 (1993)), brome mosaic virus (*see, e.g.,* Mori *et al., J. Gen. Virol.* 74:1255-1260 (1993)),. Such expression vectors are prepared using techniques known to those of skill in the art, e.g., by using bacterial RNA polymerases such as SP6 and T7 followed by manual inoculation, or by introduction of the vectors into plants by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (Angell & Baulcombe, *EMBO J.* 16: 3675-3684 (1997)).

In another embodiment, optionally, a DNA expression vector also comprises a gene encoding an RNA dependent RNA polymerase active in plant cells. The RNA dependent RNA polymerase is then used to amplify the construct (either the positive and/or the negative strand).

In another embodiment, the construct is expressed via a DNA expression vector derived from a plant DNA virus, e.g., cauliflower mosaic virus (*see, e.g.,* Futterer & Hohn, *EMBO J.* 10:3887-3896 (1991), African cassava mosaic virus (*see, e.g.,* Ward *et al., EMBO J.* 7:1583-1587 (1988)) and the tomato golden mosaic virus.

In the present invention, a plant promoter may be employed which will direct expression of the gene in all tissues of a regenerated plant. Such promoters are referred to herein as "constitutive" promoters and are active under most environmental conditions and states of development or cell differentiation. Examples of constitutive promoters include the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S transcription initiation region, the 1'- or 2'- promoter derived from T-DNA of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, and other transcription initiation regions from various plant genes known to those of skill. Such genes include for example, ACT11 from *Arabidopsis* (Huang *et al. Plant Mol. Biol.* 33:125-139 (1996)), Cat3 from *Arabidopsis* (GenBank No. U43147, Zhong *et al., Mol.*

15

Gen. Genet. 251:196-203 (1996)), the gene encoding stearoyl-acyl carrier protein

desaturase from *Brassica napus* (Genbank No. X74782, Solocombe *et al. Plant Physiol.*

104:1167-1176 (1994)), GPc1 from maize (GenBank No. X15596, Martinez *et al., J.*

Mol. Biol. 208:551-565 (1989)), and Gpc2 from maize (GenBank No. U45855,

5   Manjunath *et al., Plant Mol. Biol.* 33:97-112 (1997)).

Alternatively, the plant promoter may direct expression of the gene

silencing construct in a specific tissue, organ or cell type (i.e. tissue-specific promoters)

or may be otherwise under more precise environmental or developmental control (i.e.

inducible promoters). Examples of environmental conditions that may effect

10   transcription by inducible promoters include pathogen challenge, anaerobic conditions,

elevated temperature, the presence of light, or spraying with chemicals/hormones. One of

skill will recognize that a tissue-specific promoter may drive expression of operably

linked sequences in tissues other than the target tissue. Thus, as used herein a tissue-

specific promoter is one that drives expression preferentially in the target tissue or cell

15   type, but may also lead to some expression in other tissues as well.

A number of tissue-specific promoters can also be used in the

invention. For instance, promoters that direct expression of nucleic acids in roots and

feeding cells can be used. In particular, such promoters are useful for using the methods

of the invention to inhibit nematode endoparasites that live in roots. The root-specific

20   ANR1 promoter is suitable for use in the present invention (Zhang & Forde, *Science*

279:407 (1998)). The wound specific promoter wun-1 from potato can be used, as it

respond to intracellular root migration by *Globodera* sp. (*see, e.g.*, Hansen *et al., Physiol.*

Mol. Plant Pathol. 48:161-170 (1996)). Other genes that demonstrate parasitic nematode

feeding-cell specific expression have been reported, and their promoters are suitable for

25   use in the present invention (*see, e.g.*, Bird *et al., Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 7:419-424

(1994); Gurr *et al., Mol. Gen. Genet.* 226:361-366 (1991)); Lambert *et al., Nucl. Acids.*

Res. 21:775-776 (1993); Opperman *et al., Science* 263:221-223 (1994); Van der Eycken

et al., Plant J. 9:45-54 (1996); and Wilson *et al., Phytopathology* 84:299-303 (1992)).

Phloem specific promoters, which can be used to express the gene silencing construct of

30   the invention for uptake by sap-sucking insects, include those referenced in Shi *et al., J.*

Exp. Bot. 45:623-631 (1994).

The vector comprising the gene silencing construct will typically

comprise a marker gene that confers a selectable phenotype on plant cells. For example,

the marker may encode biocide resistance, particularly antibiotic resistance, such as

16

resistance to kanamycin, G418, bleomycin, hygromycin, or herbicide resistance, such as resistance to chlorosulfuron or Basta.

Plant transformation

5   Expression vectors of the invention may be introduced into the genome of the desired plant host by a variety of conventional techniques. For example, the expression vector may be introduced directly into the genomic DNA of the plant cell using techniques such as electroporation and microinjection of plant cell protoplasts, or the expression vectors can be introduced directly to plant tissue using ballistic methods,

10   such as particle bombardment. In addition, the constructs of the invention may be introduced in plant cells as DNA or RNA expression vectors or viral particles that co-express an RNA dependent RNA polymerase.

       Microinjection techniques are known in the art and well described in the scientific and patent literature. The introduction of expression vectors using polyethylene

15   glycol precipitation is described in Paszkowski *et al. EMBO J.* 3:2717-2722 (1984). Electroporation techniques are described in Fromm *et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:5824 (1985). Ballistic transformation techniques are described in Klein *et al. Nature* 327:70-73 (1987).

       Alternatively, the expression vectors may be combined with suitable T-

20   DNA flanking regions and introduced into a conventional *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* host vector. The virulence functions of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* host will direct the insertion of the construct and adjacent marker into the plant cell DNA when the cell is infected by the bacteria. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation techniques, including disarming and use of binary vectors, are well described in the scientific

25   literature (*see, e.g.,* Horsch *et al., Science* 233:496-498 (1984); Fraley *et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 80:4803 (1983) and *Gene Transfer to Plants* (Potrykus, ed. 1995)).

       Transformed plant cells which are derived by any of the above transformation techniques can be cultured to regenerate a whole plant which possesses the transformed genotype and thus the desired phenotype such as enhanced resistance to

30   pathogens. Such regeneration techniques rely on manipulation of certain phytohormones in a tissue culture growth medium, typically relying on a biocide and/or herbicide marker that has been introduced together with the desired nucleotide sequences. Plant regeneration from cultured protoplasts is described in Evans *et al., Protoplasts Isolation and Culture, Handbook of Plant Cell Culture,* pp. 124-176 (1983); and Binding,

Regeneration of Plants, Plant Protoplasts, pp. 21-73 (1985). Regeneration can also be obtained from plant callus, explants, organs, or parts thereof. Such regeneration techniques are described generally in Klee *et al.*, *Ann. Rev. of Plant Phys.* 38:467-486 (1987).

5   The nucleic acids of the invention can be used to confer desired traits on essentially any plant. Thus, the invention has use over a broad range of plants, including wheat, corn, rice, sorghum, pepper, tomato, squash, banana, strawberry, carrot, bean, cabbage, beet, cotton, grape, pea, pineapple, potato, soybean, yam, and alfalfa, as well as other species described herein.

10   One of skill will recognize that after the expression cassette is stably incorporated in transgenic plants, if such a technique is used, and confirmed to be operable, it can be introduced into other plants by sexual crossing. Any of a number of standard breeding techniques can be used, depending upon the species to be crossed.

         Using known procedures one of skill can screen for plants of the invention
15 by detecting the effect of the construct of the invention in the target organism, either using *in vitro* assays such as plant culture, or *in vivo* assays such as transgenic plants. Means for directly and indirectly detecting and quantitating protein and RNA expression *in vitro* and in cells are well known in the art.

20   All publications, patents, and patent applications cited in this specification are herein incorporated by reference as if each individual publication, patent or patent application were specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

         Although the foregoing invention has been described in some detail by way of illustration and example for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be readily
25 apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art in light of the teachings of this invention that certain changes and modifications may be made thereto without departing from the spirit or scope of the appended claims.

EXAMPLES

30   The following examples are provided by way of illustration only and not by way of limitation. Those of skill in the art will readily recognize a variety of noncritical parameters that could be changed or modified to yield essentially similar results.

In the example described below, a construct containing an inverted repeat of the terminator of the nopaline synthase (*nos*) gene of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* was prepared. A schematic representation of the construct possessing an inverted repeat of the nos 3'-UTR is shown in Fig. 1. An inverted *nos* terminator sequence was attached to a

5   downstream sense *nos* terminator separated by a linker sequence, here consisting of a region of plant DNA but for which any sequence of similar length would suffice. This region of the DNA is transcribed and becomes incorporated into the transcript for any gene which is attached, and targets the entire transcript for degradation. Gene silencing is thus accomplished by an inverted repeat structure that is incorporated into the intended

10 transcript, but that is not related by sequence to the target gene. To test the efficacy of this approach, a construct containing the inverted *nos* repeat was attached to the cDNA for tomato fruit polygalacturonase (PG), a gene which is expressed at particularly high levels in ripe fruit (DellaPenna *et al.*, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA* 83:6420-6424 (1986)).

Unless otherwise indicated, all procedures and methodologies described

15 herein are described in the molecular biology methods handbook of Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning* (1990). To test the efficacy of said construct in providing suppression of a plant gene, the polygalacturonase (PG) gene of tomato was selected. The suppression of PG provides an amenable model system for studying sense-mediated suppression as the physiological role of PG in ripening tomato cell walls is well

20 established , PG is abundantly expressed in ripening tomato fruit and it had previously been successfully suppressed to high levels using antisense technology (Sheehy *et al.*, *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA* 85:8805-8808 (1988); Smith *et al.*, *Nature* 334:724-726 (1988)).

The first step taken in cassette development involved subcloning a DNA

25 fragment containing an in-frame deletion of the open reading frame (ORF) region of PG into pKL3063; a plant expression construct which satisfies a number of criteria related to ease in cloning manipulations and probable success in achieving high-level suppression. Components of this construct include a enhanced figwort mosaic virus (FMV) promoter in which the 5' untranslated leader (UTL) is derived from a plant heat shock 70 (*hsp*70)

30 gene, the full-length ORF of β-glucuronidase (GUS) as a histological reporter gene, a nos 3' terminator, and pGEM-5ZF+ (Promega) as the plasmid vector. To clone PG into this construct, primer-mediated PCR amplification was conducted using a full-length PG cDNA clone, pPG1.9, as template (Genbank accession no. M20269). The following

19

describes the biomaterials employed in these manipulations and a detailed description of all experimental manipulations conducted for developing the first intermediate construct in assembling pFP-IRN1:

Oligonucleotides were used to amplify a fragment which is deleted at the 5' end of the PG ORF (deletes 111 amino acids at the amino terminus of PG) and contains convenient restriction sites for cloning into pKL3063 and performing subsequent cloning steps.

PG-5' (19-mer sense primer):

5'-CTGTTCAAT<u>CC</u>ATGGTTCC-3' (note: the underlined bases differ from the native PG sequence and provide a *Nco*I site at the engineered ATG initiation codon).

PG-3' (31-mer antisense primer):

5'-GA[AGATCT]ATA<u>C</u>TG<u>C</u>A<u>G</u>A**TTAA**TAATTATAC-3' (note: the underlined bases differ from native PG sequence and provide a *Pst*I site downstream of the **TAA** stop codon, a *Bgl*II site proximal to the engineered *Pst*I site is indicated by brackets, and the stop codon is highlighted in bold letters)

pPG1.9 double-stranded DNA template was prepared by the alkaline-renaturation method of preparing plasmid DNA from bacterial strains. The PCR amplification reaction mixture contained the following components: ~10 pg of pPG1.9 DNA + 10 μM each of primers PG-5' and PG-3' + 1X concentration of manufacturer's PCR buffer (Promega) + 0.2 mM dNTP (deoxyribonucleotide phosphate) mix + 0.5 μl Taq polymerase (5 u/μl) + d.i. H20 to a final volume of 50 μl. Reaction mixtures were overlaid with mineral oil and PCR reactions were performed using the following conditions: 1 min. denaturation step at 94°C (note: tubes were placed in heating block once it had reached 94°C), 1 min. annealing step at 44°C (theoretical optimum, 15°C below Tm), 2 min. extension step at 72°C. Amplification was performed over 30 cycles and each of the steps employed a 30 sec. ramp interval.

At the end of the PCR reaction, an aliquot of the reaction (1/10th total volume) was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis and it was determined that a ~1.05 kb fragment (anticipated size) was amplified in lane showing PCR reaction containing both primers and absent in control lanes (reactions with only one of the two primers and no primers). Reaction mixture was then extracted 1X with phenol/chloroform (1:1, v/v) in eppendorf tube, centrifuged for 5 min. (14000 rpm, 10°C), and the upper aqueous layer

transferred to fresh tube and precipitated at 4°C upon the addition of 1/10th volume of 3.0 M NaOAc pH 6.0 and two volumes of ethanol. The DNA was then centrifuged as described above and the pellet dried and resuspended in 20 μl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA).

To flush the staggered ends of the PCR product, the resuspended DNA was adjusted to a total volume of 100 μl containing 1X manufacturer's T4 buffer (New England Biolabs), 0.5 mM dNTPs and 1 μl of T4 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, 3 u/μl). The reaction was then conducted at 37°C for 30 min., after which the DNA was extracted with phenol/chloroform and EtOH precipitated as described above. Finally, the dried pellet was resuspended in TE, and digested for 3 h at 37°C in a 100 μl reaction mixture containing 1X SD buffer (10X SD is 0.33M Tris-acetate pH 7.5, 0.625M K-acetate, 0.1M Mg-acetate, 40 mM spermidine and 5 mM DTT) and 20 units of *Nco*I.

Following restriction endonuclease digestion, the PCR product was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis (1% gel in 1X TAE buffer), after which the gel was stained with ethidium bromide and the band of DNA purified according to the manufacturer's instruction using the QIAquick™ gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

During the preparation of the PG PCR product, the construct pKL3063 was prepared for ligation by first digesting DNA with the enzyme *Xba*1 and then filling in the 5' overhang generated with Klenow (New England Biolabs) fragment. Digestion with *Xba*I was conducted at 37°C for 2 h in a 100 μl reaction volume containing ~10 μg of pKL3063 DNA prepared by the alkaline renaturation method, 1X SD buffer and 30 units of *Xba*I. After digestion, DNA was adjusted to 150 μl with dNTPs (final concentration of 0.5 mM), 7.5 units of Klenow and 10X SD buffer (final concentration of 1X) and then incubated for 20 min. at 37°C. Filling-in of the *Xba*I site was then followed by digestion with *Nco*I (2 h at 37°C) which was conducted by adjusting the total volume to 200 μl with 30 units of *Nco*I and maintaining the SD buffer concentration at 1X. Finally, the DNA was extracted 1X with phenol/chloroform, ethanol precipitated, the pellet dried and resuspended in 20 μl of TE buffer, and the DNA subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. To remove the GUS reporter gene fragment, the band containing the FMV:hsp70 promoter, *nos* 3' terminator and plasmid vector was purified using the QIAquick™ kit as described.

Ligation of the *NcoI-XbaI* (blunt fill-in) pKL3063 fragment and the *NcoI*-T4 blunt PG PCR fragment was performed in a 10 μl volume containing a 2:1 molar excess of PG to pKL3063 (0.1 μg of pKL3063), 1X manufacturer's ligase buffer (Promega) and 0.5 μl of T4 ligase (0.5 unit, Promega), which was incubated for 15°C

5   overnight. The following day, 5 μl of the ligation mix was used to transform competent cells of the bacterial strain XL1-blue, which were plated on L-agar plates containing ampicillin and incubated overnight at 37°C. Plasmid minipreps were then prepared by the boiling miniprep protocol from individual ampicillin resistant colonies and then digested with diagnostic restriction digests which verify the identity of the desired ligation

10   product. Finally, a large-scale plasmid prep was prepared from a single colony containing the correct ligation product and the resultant construct was designated pFMV-PG23. Also, pFMV-PG23 was sequenced by the dideoxy sequencing method in order to verify the promoter/PG junction sequence and to determine whether there were any errors introduced during the course of PG PCR amplification. A probable error was identified

15   in which a single isoleucine was changed to asparagine (relative to the start methionine of unprocessed PG, change occurred at amino acid 328).

Because of numerous inconvenient restriction endonuclease sites in pKL3063, a fragment of pFMV-PG23 containing a significant portion of the PG ORF and the *nos* 3' terminator was subcloned into a plasmid vector. This enabled the subsequent

20   cloning in the inverted orientation of a second *nos* 3' fragment and an accompanying sequence derived from the ORF of a plant endoglucanase gene which provides *in vivo* stability for the inverted repeat (Warren & Green, *J. Bacteriol.* 161:1103-1111 (1985)). Steps taken in these cloning manipulations are described as follows:

*Bam*HI digestion of pFMV-PG23 plasmid prep DNA (~10 μg of DNA

25   digested in 50 μl total volume with 1X SD buffer and 20 units of *Bam*HI for 2 h at 37°C), followed by gel purification of both digestion products using the QIAquick™ kit and employing conditions previously described. The *Bam*HI fragment containing the FMV:hsp promoter, a short *NcoI-Bam*HI sequence at the 5' end of the PG ORF, and the plasmid vector was saved for a later cloning step (see below), whereas the *Bam*HI

30   fragment containing all but ~90 bp of PG ORF sequence proximal to the *NcoI* site and the *nos* 3' terminator sequence was subcloned into plasmid vector DNA.

pGEM-7F+ plasmid vector DNA was digested to completion with *Bam*HI (10 μg of DNA digested with 20 units of *Bam*HI in 100 μl total volume containing 1X SD

buffer for 2 h at 37°C), extracted 1X with phenol/chloroform and precipitated upon the addition of 2 volumes of ethanol and 1/10th volume of 3M NaHOAc pH 6.0. Following centrifugation and resuspension of the pellet in TE buffer, ~0.1 μg of the vector DNA was ligated to a two-fold molar excess of the previously described *Bam*HI fragment

5   containing the PG ORF and 3' *nos* terminator (ligation conditions were identical to those previously described, except that 1 μl of a 1/10 dilution of ligase was used). Following overnight ligation, an aliquot of the ligation mixture was used for the transformation of competent XL-1 blue cells, which were then plated on L-agar plates containing ampicillin to select for transformants. Plates also contained X-gal and IPTG (blue-white selection)

10   to discriminate between resistant colonies containing recombinant plasmids and re-ligated plasmid vector). Finally, individual colonies were screened for the correct ligation product by diagnostic restriction digests of isolated "boiling prep" DNA.

Because the resultant construct, pGEM7-PG2, contains the engineered *Pst*I site designed for subcloning an inverted nos 3' terminator and a second *Pst*I site proximal

15   to the *Bam*HI cloning site, a *Pst*I (partial)-*Bgl*II digestion was conducted. Briefly, six separate *Pst*I partial reactions were conducted in which each contained ~5 μg of pGEM7-PG2 plasmid DNA adjusted to 50 μl total volume with 1X SD buffer and varying amounts of 0.5 mg/ml ethidium bromide (i.e., 2-7 μl added for tubes 1-6). Digestions were then initiated upon the addition of 1 μl of *Pst*I (10 units), which were then incubated

20   for one hour at 37°C, and reactions then terminated 1 h later by freezing of samples. Aliquots of the individual fractions were then analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and those digests which were enriched in linearized plasmid were then pooled, extracted 1X with phenol/chloroform, ethanol precipitated, centrifuged and resuspended in TE buffer. Finally, this DNA was digested to completion with *Bgl*II (total volume of 50 μl

25   containing 30 units of *Bgl*II and 1X SD buffer for 2 h at 37°C) and the correct fragment gel purified as previously described.

The source of a second *nos* 3' terminator and a neutral "stuffer" fragment, which is required for the stabilization of inverted repeat structures in bacteria, and likely higher eukaryotes as well, was obtained from the construct pMHXC1. pMHXC1 is a

30   CaMV 35S promoter fusion to the full-length ORF of a pepper 1,4-β-endonuclease (*PCEL*1), with *nos* as the 3' terminator sequence. To prepare the "nos-stuffer" fragment for ligation to pGEM7-PG2, ~10 μg of pMHXC1 plasmid DNA was digested to completion with *Bam*HI and *Pst*I (using standard digestion conditions), after which the

370 bp fragment containing the 260 bp nos fragment and 110 bp of the 3' end of the *PCEL*1 ORF was gel purified and prepared for ligation as previously described.

Ligation of the *Pst*I (partial)-*Bgl*II fragment of pGEM7-PG2 to the *Pst*I-*Bam*HI fragment of pMHXC1 was performed using a two-fold molar excess of pMHXC1
5   and was otherwise identical to conditions previously described. Finally, the transformation of competent XL1-blue cells with an aliquot of the ligation reaction mixture, followed by the restriction digestion analysis of miniprep plasmid DNA isolated from ampicillin resistant colonies provided for the identification of the construct pGEM7-IR1L; a subclone of the PG ORF and an inverted repeat of the 260 bp *nos* 3' terminator
10   with 110 bp of *PCEL*1 ORF DNA serving to stabilize the repeat.

Following the development of the intermediate construct pGEM7-IR1L, the final expression cassette was made by simply subcloning the *Bam*HI fragment back into the gel purified *Bam*HI fragment of pFMV-PG23. Finally, this was subcloned into the binary vector SVS297nos, which was then mobilized into *Agrobacterium* for
15   transformation studies.

The final construct, pFP-IRN1 (SEQ ID NO:1) (*see* corresponding schematic, Figure 1), was made by digesting pGEM7-IRN1L with *Bam*HI (~10 µg of DNA prepared by the alkaline renaturation method in 100 µl total volume containing 1X SD buffer and 40 units of *Bam*HI incubated for 2 h at 37°C), after which the fragment
20   containing the PG ORF and *nos* 3' inverted repeat was gel purified and prepared for ligation as previously described for all preceding cloning steps. Ligation of this fragment to the previously prepared gel purified *Bam*HI fragment containing the FMV:hsp70 promoter and plasmid vector derived from pFMV-PG23 was performed using conditions described for all previous ligations. Following the transformation of competent XL-1
25   blue cells with an aliquot of the ligation reaction mix and the identification of ampicillin resistant colonies, plasmid DNA minipreps were prepared from colonies and then digested with enzymes which enabled the selection of those clones which contained the *Bam*HI fragment cloned in the correct orientation. Finally, a candidate clone containing the desired construct was exhaustively analyzed with a battery of restriction digests in
30   order to unambiguously verify its identity.

For subcloning into the binary vector SVS297nos, pFP-IRN1 was first digested with the restriction enzymes *Not*I and *Sac*II and the overhangs remaining after digestion blunted by treatment with T4 DNA polymerase, (all procedures and conditions

24

as described above). The chimeric gene fragment containing the FMV:hsp70 promoter, the PG ORF and the inverted nos 3' terminator was then gel purified and ligated to *Sma*I digested SVS297nos which had been dephosphorylated using calf alkaline intestinal phosphatase according to the manufacturer's instructions (Boehringer Mannheim). The

5 ligation reaction mix contained an equimolar ratio of the two fragments and 1 µl of T4 ligase in a total volume of 10 µl and was incubated overnight at 15°C. Finally, competent MV1193 cells were transformed with an aliquot of the ligation mix and spectinomycin resistant clones containing the correct ligation product were identified by the analysis of isolated miniprep DNA with diagnostic restriction enzyme digests.

10 Having subcloned the expression cassette into SVS297nos, miniprep DNA was phenol/chloroform extracted, ethanol precipitated and a 1/100 dilution used in the electroporation of competent AB1 *Agrobacterium* cells. Electroporated cells were then plated on L-agar plates containing spectinomycin (100 µg/ml), kanamycin (50 µg/ml) and chloramphenicol (25 µg/ml). Plates were then stored at 28°C for 3-4 d, after which

15 resistant colonies were employed for cocultivation experiments with tomato tissue explants according to standard methodology. As a precautionary measure, recombinant binary vector DNA was isolated from selected resistant colonies and then digested with restriction enzymes so as to ensure that it had not undergone any deletions or rearrangements in the course of introduction into *Agrobacterium*.

20 Ripe fruit were harvested from primary transformants of a population of 56 tomato plants transformed with the FMV:PG:inverted nos construct, and fruit pericarp was frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was prepared from the fruit using a small scale extraction procedure as follows. Frozen fruit pericarp material (approximately 1 g) was powdered in liquid nitrogen in a pestle and mortar, and the powder added to two

25 microfuge tubes each containing 0.5 ml of NTES (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 50 mM dithiothreitol) and 0.25 ml of phenol. Tubes were mixed by a vortex mixer for 30 s, then 0.25 ml chloroform was added and tubes were re-vortexed. After centrifugation for 5 min, 600 µl of the aqueous phase was removed from each tube and added to another tube containing 0.4 ml of chloroform. Tubes were vortex

30 mixed and centrifuged as above, and 500 µl of the aqueous phase was removed from each tube and added to another tube containing 500 µl of 4 M lithium acetate, to precipitate RNA. After incubation overnight at 4°C, tubes were centrifuged for 15 min, and supernatants were discarded. RNA pellets were dissolved in 75 µl water per tube, then

25

both tubes of each sample were combined, giving a total of 150 µl per sample. RNA was precipitated by adding 15 µl of 3 M sodium acetate and 415 µl ethanol and incubating at -20 °C for 30 min. Tubes were centrifuged for 10 min, pellets washed in 70% ethanol, then dried. RNA pellets were dissolved in 50 µl water, and quantified by measuring the
5   absorbance at 260 nm of a 1:250 dilution.

An aliquot containing 5 µg of each RNA was added to a loading buffer (consisting of 1 µl of 10 X MEN buffer (10 X MEN buffer is 0.4 M MOPS buffer pH 7.0, 0.1 M sodium acetate and 10 mM EDTA), 10 µl of formamide and 3.5 µl of 37% formaldehyde) and heated at 65 °C for 10 min then placed in ice. The RNA samples were
10   loaded onto a 1.2% agarose and 10% formaldehyde gel and separated by electrophoresis at 100 V for 3 h. The gel was blotted to a nylon membrane (Duralon-UV, Stratagene), following the manufacturer's instructions. After blotting, the RNA was irreversible cross-linked to the membrane by irradiation with UV light.

To determine the extent of silencing of the endogenous polygalacturonase
15   gene and the polygalacturonase transgene, mRNA accumulation was examined by RNA gel blot analysis. The membrane was hybridized with a radioactively-labeled probe prepared from the cDNA of the tomato PG gene using random nucleotide hexamers, [^{32}P]-dCTP and the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (Feinberg & Vogelstein, *Anal. Biochem.* 132:6 (1983)). Hybridization was in Robbins hybridization buffer (7%
20   SDS and 250 mM sodium citrate) at 65°C overnight, and the blot was subsequently washed in 0.1 X SSC (1 X SSC is 150 mM NaCl and 15 mM sodium citrate) and 0.1% SDS at 65°C three times, then exposed to X-ray film. Blots were additionally exposed to phosphorimager plates so that relative PG mRNA abundance could be quantified.

Figure 2 shows a representative RNA gel blot of the primary transformants
25   probed with the PG cDNA. The first lane contains RNA from wild type (untransformed) fruit. The other lanes show RNA from fruit of 16 primary transformants, and RNA from leaves of two of the primary transformants. Phosphorimager analysis was used to quantify relative amounts of PG mRNA in these lines, and the results are shown in Figure 3. Plant number 105 was not suppressed, and had higher levels of PG mRNA than the wild type
30   control. PG mRNA abundance increases dramatically with fruit ripening (DellaPenna et al., 1986), and since fruit were not precisely staged, some variability in PG mRNA abundance was expected. The remaining 15 primary transformants were suppressed in PG mRNA accumulation. Strongest suppression was in line 132, which was suppressed

26

by 98.8% relative to wild type. Lines 97, 98, and 122 were suppressed by approximately 98.6%, lines 99, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109, 110, 129 and 133 by approximately 99%, and lines 102 and 106 by approximately 97.5%. Out of a total of 56 primary transformants examined, 53 showed strong suppression of PG mRNA accumulation. The invention thus confers high frequency and high level suppression of the target gene of interest.

SEQ ID NO:1

CTGGCACGACAGGTTTCCCGACTGGAAAGCGGGCAGTGAGCGCAACGCAATTAATGTGAGTTAGCTCACTCA

TTAGGCACCCCAGGCTTTACACTTTATGCTTCCGGCTCGTATGTTGTGTGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATT

TCACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACCATGATTACGCCAAGCTATTTAGGTGACACTATAGAATACTCAAGCTATG

CATCCAACGCGTTGGGAGCTCTCCCATATGGTCGACCTGCAGGCGGCCGCACTAGTGATGCTTAGATCTCGA

GTGGAAGCTAATTCTCAGTCCAAAGCCTCAACAAGGTCAGGGTACAGAGTCTCCAAACCATTAGCCAAAAGC

TACAGGAGATCAATGAAGAATCTTCAATCAAAGTAAACTACTGTTCCAGCACATGCATCATGGTCAGTAAGT

TTCAGAAAAAGACATCCACCGAAGACTTAAAGTTAGTGGGCATCTTTGAAAGTAATCTTGTCAACATCGAGC

AGCTGGCTTGTGGGGACCAGACAAAAAAGGAATGGTGCAGAATTGTTAGGCGCACCTACCAAAAGCATCTTT

GCCTTTATTGCAAAGATAAAGCAGATTCCTCTAGTACAAGTGGGGAACAAAATAACGTGGAAAAGAGCTGTC

CTGACAGCCCACTCACTAATGCGTATGACGAACGCAGTGACGACCACAAAAGAATTAGCTTGAGCTCAGGAT

TTAGCAGCATTCCAGATTGGGTTCAATCAACAAGGTACGAGCCATATCACTTTATTCAAATTGGTATCGCCA

AAACCAAGAAGGAACTCCCATCCTCAAAGGTTTGTAAGGAAGAATTCTCAGTCCAAAGCCTCAACAAGGTCA

GGGTACAGAGTCTCCAAACCATTAGCCAAAAGCTACAGGAGATCAATGAAGAATCTTCAATCAAAGTAAACT

ACTGTTCCAGCACATGCATCATGGTCAGTAAGTTTCAGAAAAAGACATCCACCGAAGACTTAAAGTTAGTGG

GCATCTTTGAAAGTAATCTTGTCAACATCGAGCAGCTGGCTTGTGGGGACCAGACAAAAAAGGAATGGTGCA

GAATTGTTAGGCGCACCTACCAAAAGCATCTTTGCCTTTATTGCAAAGATAAAGCAGATTCCTCTAGTACAA

GTGGGGAACAAAATAACGTGGAAAAGAGCTGTCCTGACAGCCCACTCACTAATGCGTATGACGAACGCAGTG

ACGACCACAAAAGAATTCCCTCTATATAAGAAGGCATTCATTCCCATTTGAAGGACACAGAAAAATTTGCTA

CATTGTTTCACAAACTTCAAATATTATTCATTTATTTGTCAGCTTTCAAACTCTTTGTTTCTTGTTTGTTGA

TTGAGAATATTTAAAccatggttcctaaaaacaagaattatcttctcaagcaaatcacctttcaggtcca

tgcagatcttctatttcagtaaagatttttggatccttagaagcatctagtaaaatttcagactacaaagat

agaaggctttggattgcttttgatagtgttcaaaatttagttgttggaggaggaggaactatcaatggcaat

ggacaagtatggtggccaagttcttgcaaaataaataaatcactgccatgcagggatgcaccaacggcctta

accttctggaattgcaaaaatttgaaagtgaataatctaaagagtaaaaatgcacaacaaattcatatcaaa

tttgagtcatgcactaatgttgtagcttcaaatttgatgatcaatgcttcagcaaagagcccaaatactgat

ggagtccatgtatcaaatactcaatatattcaaatatctgatactattattggaacaggtgatgattgtatt

tcaattgtttctggatctcaaaatgtgcaggccacaaatattacttgtggtccaggtcatggtataagtatt

ggaagcttaggatctggaaattcagaagcttatgtgtctaatgttactgtaaatgaagccaaaattatcggt

gccgaaaatggagttaggatcaagacttggcagggaggatctggacaagctagcaacatcaaatttctgaat

gtggaaatgcaagacgttaagtatcccataattatagaccaaaactattgtgatcgagttgaaccatgtata

caacagttttcagcagttcaagtgaaaaatgtggtgtatgagaatatcaagggcacaagtgcaacaaaggtg

gccataaaatttgattgcagcacaaactttccatgtgaaggaattataatggagaatataaatttagtaggg

gaaagtggaaaaccatcagaggctacgtgcaaaaatgtccattttaacaatgctgaacatgttacaccacac

tgcacttcactagaaatttcagaggatgaagctcttttgtataattattaatctgcaggtcgatctagtaac

atagatgacaccgcgcgcgataatttatcctagtttgcgcgctatattttgtttctatcgcgtattaaatgt

ataattgcgggactctaatcagaaaaacccatctcataaataacgtcatgcattacatgttaattattacat

gcttaacgtaattcaacagaaattatatgataatcatcgcaagaccggcaacaggattcaatcttaagaaac

```
   tttattgccaaatgtttgaacatctgcttgactctagaggatcttcaattttttactgtgaaacattcttcg
   tgctaatttgtttatcacataaaattggttcgttaaattgtgaattaatttgccttctattttgaccaatca
   aagcggctacggatcttcCTAGAGTCAAGCAGATCGTTCAAACATTTGGCAATAAAGTTTCTTAAGATTGAA
   TCCTGTTGCCGGTCTTGCGATGATTATCATATAATTTCTGTTGAATTACGTTAAGCATGTAATAATTAACAT
5  GTAATGCATGACGTTATTTATGAGATGGGTTTTTATGATTAGAGTCCCGCAATTATACATTTAATACGCGAT
   AGAAAACAAAATATAGCGCGCAAACTAGGATAAATTATCGCGCGCGGTGTCATCTATGTTACTAGATCGACC
   TGCAGGCATGGGATCCGCGGCCGCATGCGACGTCGGGCCCAATTCGCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACAATTC
   ACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACAACGTCGTGACTGGGAAAACCCTGGCGTTACCCAACTTAATCGCCTTGCAGCACA
   TCCCCCTTTCGCCAGCTGGCGTAATAGCGAAGAGGCCCGCACCGATCGCCCTTCCCAACAGTTGCGCAGCCT
10 GAATGGCGAATGGACGCGCCCTGTAGCGGCGCATTAAGCGCGGCGGGTGTGGTGGTTACGCGCAGCGTGACC
   GCTACACTTGCCAGCGCCCTAGCGCCCGCTCCTTTCGCTTTCTTCCCTTCCTTTCTCGCCACGTTCGCCGGC
   TTTCCCCGTCAAGCTCTAAATCGGGGGCTCCCTTTAGGGTTCCGATTTAGAGCTTTACGGCACCTCGACCGC
   AAAAAACTTGATTTGGGTGATGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCGCCCTGATAGACGGTTTTTCGCCCTTTGACG
   TTGGAGTCCACGTTCTTTAATAGTGGACTCTTGTTCCAAACTGGAACAACACTCAACCCTATCTCGGTCTAT
15 TCTTTTGATTTATAAGGGATTTTGCCGATTTCGGCCTATTGGTTAAAAAATGAGCTGATTTAACAAATATTT
   AACGCGAATTTTAACAAAATATTAACGTTTACAATTTCGCCTGATGCGGTATTTTCTCCTTACGCATCTGTG
   CGGTATTTCACACCGCATACAGGTGGCACTTTTCGGGGAAATGTGCGCGGAACCCCTATTTGTTTATTTTTC
   TAAATACATTCAAATATGTATCCGCTCATGAGACAATAACCCTGATAAATGCTTCAATAATATTGAAAAAGG
   AAGAGTATGAGTATTCAACATTTCCGTGTCGCCCTTATTCCCTTTTTTGCGGCATTTTGCCTTCCTGTTTTT
20 GCTCACCCAGAAACGCTGGTGAAAGTAAAAGATGCTGAAGATCAGTTGGGTGCACGAGTGGGTTACATCGAA
   CTGGATCTCAACAGCGGTAAGATCCTTGAGAGTTTTCGCCCCGAAGAACGTTTTCCAATGATGAGCACTTTT
   AAAGTTCTGCTATGTGGCGCGGTATTATCCCGTATTGACGCCGGGCAAGAGCAACTCGGTCGCCGCATACAC
   TATTCTCAGAATGACTTGGTTGAGTACTCACCAGTCACAGAAAAGCATCTTACGGATGGCATGACAGTAAGA
   GAATTATGCAGTGCTGCCATAACCATGAGTGATAACACTGCGGCCAACTTACTTCTGACAACGATCGGAGGA
25 CCGAAGGAGCTAACCGCTTTTTTGCACAACATGGGGGATCATGTAACTCGCCTTGATCGTTGGGAACCGGAG
   CTGAATGAAGCCATACCAAACGACGAGCGTGACACCACGATGCCTGTAGCAATGGCAACAACGTTGCGCAAA
   CTATTAACTGGCGAACTACTTACTCTAGCTTCCCGGCAACAATTAATAGACTGGATGGAGGCGGATAAAGTT
   GCAGGACCACTTCTGCGCTCGGCCCTTCCGGCTGGCTGGTTTATTGCTGATAAATCTGGAGCCGGTGAGCGT
   GGGTCTCGCGGTATCATTGCAGCACTGGGGCCAGATGGTAAGCCCTCCCGTATCGTAGTTATCTACACGACG
30 GGGAGTCAGGCAACTATGGATGAACGAAATAGACAGATCGCTGAGATAGGTGCCTCACTGATTAAGCATTGG
   TAACTGTCAGACCAAGTTTACTCATATATACTTTAGATTGATTTAAAACTTCATTTTTAATTTAAAAGGATC
   TAGGTGAAGATCCTTTTTGATAATCTCATGACCAAAATCCCTTAACGTGAGTTTTCGTTCCACTGAGCGTCA
   GACCCCGTAGAAAAGATCAAAGGATCTTCTTGAGATCCTTTTTTTCTGCGCGTAATCTGCTGCTTGCAAACA
   AAAAAACCACCGCTACCAGCGGTGGTTTGTTTGCCGGATCAAGAGCTACCAACTCTTTTTCCGAAGGTAACT
35 GGCTTCAGCAGAGCGCAGATACCAAATACTGTCCTTCTAGTGTAGCCGTAGTTAGGCCACCACTTCAAGAAC
   TCTGTAGCACCGCCTACATACCTCGCTCTGCTAATCCTGTTACCAGTGGCTGCTGCCAGTGGCGATAAGTCG
   TGTCTTACCGGGTTGGACTCAAGACGATAGTTACCGGATAAGGCGCAGCGGTCGGGCTGAACGGGGGGTTCG
   TGCACACAGCCCAGCTTGGAGCGAACGACCTACACCGAACTGAGATACCTACAGCGTGAGCTATGAGAAAGC
   GCCACGCTTCCCGAAGGGAGAAAGGCGGACAGGTATCCGGTAAGCGGCAGGGTCGGAACAGGAGAGCGCACG
40 AGGGAGCTTCCAGGGGGAAACGCCTGGTATCTTTATAGTCCTGTCGGGTTTCGCCACCTCTGACTTGAGCGT
   CGATTTTTGTGATGCTCGTCAGGGGGGCGGAGCCTATGGAAAAACGCCAGCAACGCGGCCTTTTTACGGTTC
```

29

CTGGCCTTTTGCTGGCCTTTTGCTCACATGTTCTTTCCTGCGTTATCCCCTGATTCTGTGGATAACCGTATT
ACCGCCTTTGAGTGAGCTGATACCGCTCGCCGCAGCCGAACGACCGAGCGCAGCGAGTCAGTGAGCGAGGAA
GCGGAAGAGCGCCCAATACGCAAACCGCCTCTCCCCGCGCGTTGGCCGATTCATTAATGCAG